Steven Mandala FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 1 d/b/a TIREGRAFICX 8600 Commodity Circle STE 148 2 ALG 1 3 2018 Orlando, FL 32819 3 (407) 900-0190 Defendant in Pro Per CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 CASE NO. 2:18-cv-5128 MWF (KSx) TIRE STICKERS, LLC 7 Hon. Michael W. Fitzgerald Hon. Magistrate Karen L. Stevenson , Plaintiff 8 9 **DEFENDANT'S DECLARATION** v. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO 10 SET ASIDE ENTRY OF DEFAULT SCUDERIA AUTOMOBILI; PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 55(c) TIRE GRAFICX; 11 EMINEL HOLDINGS; and 12 DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive **Hearing Date:** October 1, 2018 13 **Hearing Time:** 10:00 am Place: Courtroom 5A 14 . Defendants 15 I, STEVEN MANDALA d/b/a TIREGRAFICX, declare as follows: 16 17 I am the Defendant in the above-entitled case. 1. 18 2. I have personal knowledge of the following facts, and, if called as a witness, I could 19 and would completely testify thereto. 20 21 3. I became aware of this lawsuit on June 13, 2018. 22 4. I took the following actions in response to the lawsuit: 23 A. Defendant notified legal counsel to assist in negotiating a settlement on 24 June 19, 2018 25 26 27 28

- B. Defendant's legal counsel held conference with Plaintiff's counsel to notify Defendant is unable to pay any alleged damages and any lawsuit brought against Defendant, if successful, would not be fruitful in obtaining any sums in damages.
- C. Defendant sent Plaintiff an Answer to Complaint and Affirmative Defenses on July 23, 2018. Copies of the Answer were submitted to the Clerk of the Court and to the chambers of the Honorable Michael W. Fitzgerald on July 23, 2018.
- 5. My delay in filing a response to the lawsuit was not intentional. I did not file a timely formal response to the lawsuit because:
 - A. Defendant was unable to find and afford an attorney eligible to defend this lawsuit in the Court in time to file an Answer within the timeframe allotted.
 - B. Defendant submitted an Answer to Complaint and Affirmative Defenses, which was rejected by the Clerk of the Court because Defendant did not label his person correctly as a sole proprietor, but mistakenly labeled himself as "TIREGRAFICX, Inc."
- 6. The following facts support my defenses to this lawsuit:
 - A. No Contract Exists Between Defendant and Plaintiff

The Plaintiff in the Complaint filed with the Court alleges Breach of Contract against the Defendant. To date, no contract exists between Steven Mandala d/b/a TIREGRAFICX.

Absent of a contract, Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against Defendant Steven Mandala d/b/a TIREGRAFICX.

B. Defendant Has Not Infringed on Marks Owned by Plaintiff 1 2 The Defendant's business is a competitor of the Plaintiff and has not, and does not use 3 Plaintiff's Marks, as described in the Complaint, to infringe upon and confuse the public. 4 There are no references or claims to "Tire Stickers" on Defendant's website 5 www.tiregraficx.com, with the exception of using "tire stickers" as a descriptive term under 6 the doctrines of Fair Use, Nominative Fair Use, and/or descriptive use. Absent of any 7 8 provable infringement by the Defendant against the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff has failed to state a 9 claim against Defendant. 10 7. I have no reason to believe Plaintiff will suffer prejudice if the Court grants this 11 motion. 12 13 The aforementioned facts, along with other factual evidence and affirmative defenses, Defendant is 14 15 able to defend itself against any and all alleged claims in the lawsuit. 16 17 I declare under perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 18 19 20 Executed on August 10, 2013 in Orange County, Florida 21 Sign: 22 Steven Mandala d/b/a TIREGRAFICX 23 Defendant in Pro Per 24 25 26 27 28